REMARKS

[0003] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all

of the claims of the application not previously allowed. Claims 1-7, 25-37 are

presently rejected. Claims 8-24 are presently allowed. Claims amended herein

are 1, 25, 29, and 34.

Statement of Substance of Interview

[0004] The Examiner graciously talked with me—the undersigned

representative for the Applicant—on January 24, 2008. Applicant greatly

appreciates the Examiner's willingness to talk. Such willingness is invaluable to

both of us in our common goal of an expedited prosecution of this patent

application.

[0005] During the interview, I discussed how the claims differed from the

cited art, namely Takenaka. Without conceding the propriety of the rejections and

in the interest of expediting prosecution, I also proposed several possible clarifying

amendments incorporating subject matter already indicated to be allowable.

[0006] I understood the Examiner to tentatively agree that

independent claims 1, 25, 29, and 34 would be patentable over the cited art if

amended as discussed during the interview.

[0007] Applicant herein amends the claims in the manner discussed during

the interview. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable

over the cited art of record for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.

-14-

Serial No.: 10/658,349

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1579US

Atty/Agent: Clay Hagler

lee@hayes

The Business of IP™

Formal Request for an Interview

[0008] If the Examiner's reply to this communication is anything other than

allowance of all pending claims, then I formally request an interview with the

Examiner. I encourage the Examiner to call me—the undersigned representative

for the Applicant—so that we can talk about this matter so as to resolve any

outstanding issues quickly and efficiently over the phone.

[0009] Please contact me or my assistant to schedule a date and time for a

telephone interview that is most convenient for both of us. While email works

great for us, I welcome your call to either of us as well. Our contact information

may be found on the last page of this response.

Claim Amendments and Additions

[0010] Without conceding the propriety of the rejections herein and in the

interest of expediting prosecution, Applicant amends claims 1, 25, 29, and 34

-15-

herein.

Serial No.: 10/658,349

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1579US

Atty/Agent: Clay Hagler

lee@hayes

The Business of IP 184

Substantive Matters

Claim Rejections under § 102

[0011] Claims 1-7 and 25-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being

anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,807,450 B1 issued to Takenaka. In light of the

amendments presented herein and the decisions/agreements reached during the

above-discussed Examiner interview, Applicant submits that these rejections are

moot. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

[0012] As discussed in the examiner interview, the independent claims 1,

25, 29, and 34 have been amended to include subject matter from the previously

allowed claims.

Anticipation Rejections

[0013] Applicant submits that the anticipation rejections are not valid

because, for each rejected claim, no single reference discloses each and every

element of that rejected claim.1 Furthermore, the elements disclosed in the

single reference are not arranged in the manner recited by each rejected claim.²

¹ "A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987); also see MPEP §2131.

² See *In re Bond*, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Serial No.: 10/658,349

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1579US

Atty/Agent: Clay Hagler

lee@hayes

Based upon Takenaka

[0014] The Examiner rejects claims 1-7 and 25-37 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

as being anticipated by Takenaka. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections

of these claims. Based on the reasons given below, Applicant asks the Examiner

to withdraw the rejection of these claims.

Independent Claims 1, 25, 29 and 34

[0015] As discussed during the above mentioned Examiner interview, the

independent claims 1, 25, 29, and 34 have been amended to include allowed

subject matter from allowed claims 8-24.

[0016] As discussed during the interview, Takenaka fails to teach the

element wherein the first and second data files are analyzed in order to

determine the fade in and fade out points. This element is claimed in the

previously allowed claims and is herein included by amendment in claims 1, 25,

29, and 34.

[0017] Consequently, Takenaka does not disclose all of the claimed

elements and features of these claims. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner

to withdraw the rejection of this claim.

Serial No.: 10/658,349 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1579US

Atty/Agent: Clay Hagler

lee@hayes

The Business of IP™

Dependent Claims 2-7, 26-28, 30-33, and 35-37

These claims ultimately depend upon independent claim 1, 25, 29 or 34. As discussed above, claims 1, 25, 29 or 34 are allowable. It is axiomatic that any dependent claim which depends from an allowable base claim is also allowable. Additionally, some or all of these claims may also be allowable for additional independent reasons.

Serial No.: 10/658,349 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1579US

Atty/Agent: Clay Hagler



Conclusion

[0018] All pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact me before issuing a subsequent Action. Please call/email me or my assistant at your convenience.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lee & Hayes, PLLC

Attorneys for Applicant

Dated: 02/05/2013

Clay Hagler (clay@leehayes.com; x223)

Registration No. 61,804

Jason Lindh (Jason@LeeHayes.com; x215)

Registration No. 59,090

Assistant: Carly Bokarica (carly@leehayes.com; x264)

Customer No. 22801

Telephone: (509) 324-9256 Facsimile: (509) 323-8979

www.leehayes.com